
Development of an LC-MS/MS Method for the Analysis of Δ8-THC, Δ9-THC, and Their Metabolites in Whole Blood

Cyrille Lamboley, Haley Berkland Restek Corporation, Bellefonte, PA

Introduction


samples whole blood tetrahydrocannabinol ($\Delta 9$ -THC) consumption is routine and has been around for many decades. Δ -9-THC is metabolized into 11-hydroxy-Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol (11-OH- Δ 9-THC) and further into 11-nor-9-carboxy- Δ 9-THC ($\Delta 9$ -THC-COOH). It is important to test for the parent and both metabolites to properly monitor for THC usage. As more isomers of $\Delta 9$ -THC become available on the market, testing becomes more complicated and novel methods are needed to achieve isomeric resolution. One such isomer, Δ8-THC, has gained popularity as a recreational product in recent years. This compound carboxylated hydroxylated and own metabolites, (11-OH- Δ 8-THC and Δ 8-THC-COOH), that must be resolved from their isomeric metabolites. The resolution of these metabolites is key in reporting accurate results. Poor resolution, especially when one isomer is in much greater abundance than the other, can result in invalid data. In this study, three different column chemistries were investigated to see if separation of the three isomer pairs was feasible. An LC-MS/MS method was then developed to adequately resolve the parent, hydroxy, and carboxy isomer compounds in whole blood.





Column Chemistries

Three stationary phases were scouted: **Biphenyl**, **ARC-18**, and **FluoroPhenyl**. The ligand for each stationary phase is shown below.



Method Scouting

A sample containing all 6 compounds was analyzed using the following run conditions on a column from each of the three stationary phases.

Method Scouting Conditions				
Stationary Phases	Raptor Biphenyl Raptor ARC-18 Raptor FluoroPhenyl			
Column Dimension	100 x 2.1 mm, 2.7 μm			
Mobile Phase A	0.1% formic acid in H ₂ O			
Mobile Phase B	0.1% formic acid in MeOH			
Column Temperature	40°C			
Diluent	50:50 MPA:MPB (v/v)			
Injection Volume	5 μL			
Flow Rate	0.5 mL/min			
Gradient	Time (min)	%A	%B	
	0.00	40	60	
	6.00	20	80	
	9.00	20	80	
	9.01	40	60	
	10.00	40	60	




Figure 1. Isomers analyzed on a **Biphenyl** column. No selectivity is shown for the isomers, with all 3 pairs co-eluting.




Figure 2. Isomers analyzed on an ARC-18 column. $\Delta 8/9$ -THC are well resolved on this phase. The carboxy analytes also show separation, but the hydroxy isomers are still coeluting.




Figure 3. Isomers analyzed on a FluoroPhenyl column. All isomers showed separation on this phase. Further method development must be performed to fully separate the compounds.

Optimized Method Conditions Stationary Phase Raptor FluoroPhenyl **Column Dimension** 100 x 3 mm, 2.7 μm Raptor EXP guard cartridge, 5 x 3 mm, **Guard Column** $2.7 \mu m$ **Mobile Phase A** 0.1% formic acid in H₂O **Mobile Phase B** 0.1% formic acid in MeOH **Column Temperature** 40°C Diluent 40:60 MPA:MPB (v/v) **Injection Volume** $5 \mu L$ **Flow Rate** 0.8 mL/min Time (min) %A %B 36 64 0.00 6.50 36 64 6.60 32 68 68 32 Gradient 13.00 100 13.10 14.00 0 100 36 14.10 64 36 64 16.00





Figure 4. Optimized method conditions on a FluoroPhenyl column. All isomers are baseline resolved. A larger column ID was selected to increase resolution capabilities. The flow rate was adjusted for the larger column ID. A guard cartridge was added to protect the analytical column. The gradient was altered to optimize separation of the isomers, and the sample diluent was changed to reflect starting conditions.

Sample Preparation

The developed method was applied to whole blood. Samples were prepared using the liquid-liquid extraction procedure shown below. The extraction procedure was adapted from Tiscione, Nicholas B et al. (2016).²



Method Performance

Linearity, Precision, Accuracy

Linearity was demonstrated using a 1/x weighted linear regression. All analytes showed acceptable r² values (≥0.99). The calibration range was made up of six calibrators (range shown below). The calibration ranges encompass typical concentration levels for these analytes in whole blood specimens. Precision and accuracy were assessed at four different concentrations (LLOQ, Low QC, Medium QC, High QC) and evaluated within a day and as an average of three days (n=9). The results demonstrated that the method was accurate and precise.

Calibration Range (ng/mL)	r ²
0.5 - 100	0.9932
0.5 - 100	0.9984
2.5 - 500	0.9956
2.5 – 500	0.9964
0.5 - 100	0.9976
0.5 - 100	0.9950
	0.5 - 100 $0.5 - 100$ $2.5 - 500$ $2.5 - 500$ $0.5 - 100$

Interferences

Potential interferences from 12 other commonly encountered/structurally similar cannabinoids was also investigated. A sample containing CBDV, CBD, CBG, THCV, exo-THC, 9(S)-HHC, CBL, 9(R)-HHC, CBN, 9(S)- Δ 6a,10a-THC, CBC, and THCA-A was analyzed using the developed method. All compounds were resolved from the analytes of interest. CBL elutes in the same MRM window as Δ 9-THC but has a notable difference in retention time so no misidentification should occur.

Conclusion

In this work, a method was developed for $\Delta 8$ -THC, $\Delta 9$ -THC, and their hydroxy and carboxy metabolites. Three column chemistries were scouted to determine which phase showed the most selectivity for the isomers. Between Biphenyl, ARC-18, and FluoroPhenyl, the FluoroPhenyl phase showed the best selectivity for the isomers. A method was optimized on this column chemistry and applied to whole blood. The developed method was shown to be robust and reproducible across the calibration range. This work demonstrates the importance of evaluating alternate column chemistries for difficult separations.

References

- 1. Karschner, E. L.; Swortwood-Gates, M. J.; Huestis, M. A. Identifying and Quantifying Cannabinoids in Biological Matrices in the Medical and Legal Cannabis Era. Clinical Chemistry 66:7 (2020) 888-914.
- 2. Tiscione NB, Miller R, Shan X, Sprague J, Yeatman DT. An Efficient, Robust Method for the Determination of Cannabinoids in Whole Blood by LC-MS-MS. J Anal Toxicol. 2016;40(8):639-648. doi:10.1093/jat/bkw063